

PRINTER RUSH

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application : 10/06040

Examiner : Stacks

GAU : 11626

From : MW

Location : IDC FMF FDC

Date : 8/4/05

Tracking # : 0607219

Week Date : 5/16/05

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449		<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS		<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLM	<u>3-8-2005</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> IIFW		<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW		<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW		
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH		
<input type="checkbox"/> 312		
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC		

[RUSH] MESSAGE:

Claim 7 (original 9) depends on non-existent claim 86. Please advise.

Thanks

[XRUSH] RESPONSE:

In the amendment filed March 8, 2005, the dependency of claim 9 (now renumbered claim 7) was changed from "claim 8" to "claim 6". Although very light, there is ~~is~~ definitely a dash mark through the "8" in claim 9. Therefore, claim 9 depends from claim 6, not claim 86. Also see Applicant's Remarks section (attached).

8/11/05

INITIALS: SS

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04